

COHOMOLOGY OF RATIONAL FORMS AND A VANISHING THEOREM ON TORIC VARIETIES

ANVAR R. MAVLYUTOV

ABSTRACT. We explicitly describe cohomology of the sheaf of differential forms with poles along a semiample divisor on a complete simplicial toric variety. As an application, we obtain a new vanishing theorem which is an analogue of the Bott-Steenbrink-Danilov vanishing theorem.

0. INTRODUCTION.

Phillip Griffiths in [G] calculated the cohomology of smooth hypersurfaces in a projective space. His method used the Gysin exact sequence, and the problem was reduced to finding the cohomology of the complement of the hypersurface in its ambient space. The latter cohomology was easily found due to the vanishing theorem of R. Bott in [Bot]:

$$H^k(\mathbb{P}^m, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^m}^l(X)) = 0 \quad \text{for an ample divisor } X \text{ and } k > 0.$$

This theorem was extended to an ample divisor on a complete toric variety (see [BFLM], [D] and [BC]).

As in the case of projective hypersurfaces, in order to compute the cohomology of X quasismooth hypersurfaces in complete simplicial toric varieties $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$, one needs to know the cohomology of the twisted sheaves

$$H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)).$$

An important case to consider is when the divisor X is semiample. For toric varieties this means that the corresponding line bundle is generated by global sections. The Bott vanishing theorem does not hold for semiample divisors. However, in this paper we explicitly calculated the cohomology of the twisted sheaves $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)$ and, in particular, we discovered a new vanishing theorem for semiample divisors:

$$H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)) = 0 \text{ if } k > l \text{ or } l > k + i, \tag{1}$$

where i is the Kodaira-Iitaka dimension of the divisor X . When the divisor X is trivial this reduces to the well-known Danilov's vanishing result for the cohomology of a complete simplicial toric variety:

$$H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \neq l.$$

Moreover, for $k = l$, we recover Danilov-Jurkevich's description of the cohomology of a complete simplicial toric variety.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 1, we compute cohomology of Ishida's complexes that are necessary in studying cohomology of sheaves $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)$. Then, in section 2, we calculated $H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X))$ for a semiample divisor X on a

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14M25.

Key words and phrases. Toric varieties, semiample divisors, vanishing theorem.

complete simplicial toric variety $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$. As a consequence of this result, we obtain the vanishing theorem (1). We also find a dimension formula for this cohomology and explicitly represent the generators of cohomology $H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X))$ in terms of the Čech cocycles.

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank Evgeny Materov for his interest and for pointing out a useful reference [Is] of M. Ishida that helped in calculations. We are also grateful to David Cox for correcting some of the references.

1. COHOMOLOGY OF ISHIDA'S COMPLEXES.

Ishida's complexes have appeared as a result of studying sheaves of differential forms on toric varieties. In this section, we will compute the cohomology of Ishida's complexes of modules.

First, we fix some standard notation: M is a lattice of rank d ; $N = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is the dual lattice; Σ is a finite rational (usually simplicial) fan in the $\mathbb{R}$ -scalar extension $N_\mathbb{R}$; $\Sigma(k)$ is the set of all k -dimensional cones in Σ ; $e_1, \dots, e_n$ are the minimal integral (primitive) generators of the 1-dimensional cones $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n \in \Sigma(1)$.

Definition 1.1. [O1] Let Σ be a fan in $N_\mathbb{R}$ and $l = 0, \dots, d$. Then Ishida's l -th complex of $\mathbb{Q}$ -modules is denoted $C^*(\Sigma, l)$, where

$$C^j(\Sigma, l) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Sigma(j)} \bigwedge^{l-j} \gamma^\perp$$

(for simplicity, $\gamma^\perp := M_\mathbb{Q} \cap \gamma^\perp$) and the coboundary homomorphism δ is defined as the direct sum of $\delta_{\gamma, \tau} : \bigwedge^{l-j} \gamma^\perp \rightarrow \bigwedge^{l-j-1} \tau^\perp$, which are zero maps if γ is not a facet of τ , while for $\gamma \prec \tau$, set $\delta_{\gamma, \tau}(w) = e_{\gamma, \tau} \lrcorner w$, where $e_{\gamma, \tau} \in N_\mathbb{Q}$ satisfies $\tau + (-\gamma) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} e_{\gamma, \tau} + \mathbb{R}\gamma$.

Remark 1.2. Compared to the definition of Ishida's complex in [O1, Section 3.2], our definition allows the maps $\delta_{\gamma, \tau}$ to be defined up to a rational multiple.

Ishida's complexes are also defined for star closed and star open subsets of a fan.

Definition 1.3. A subset Φ of a fan Σ is called *star closed* (*star open*), if $\sigma \in \Phi$ and $\sigma \prec \tau \in \Sigma$ imply $\tau \in \Phi$ (correspondingly, $\tau \in \Phi$ and $\sigma \prec \tau$ imply $\sigma \in \Phi$). For such subsets, Ishida's l -th complex of Φ is defined as

$$C^j(\Phi, l) := \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Phi \cap \Sigma(j)} \bigwedge^{l-j} \gamma^\perp$$

with the coboundary homomorphism δ as the sum of $\delta_{\gamma, \tau}$ for $\gamma, \tau \in \Phi$.

Example 1.4. Given a fan Σ and a cone $\gamma \in \Sigma$, then the set

$$\text{Star}_\gamma(\Sigma) := \{\tau \in \Sigma \mid \tau \succ \gamma\}$$

is star closed in Σ . One can construct a fan $\widetilde{\text{Star}}_\gamma(\Sigma)$, called the *star* of γ , which consists of the images of the cones from $\text{Star}_\gamma(\Sigma)$ in the quotient space $N_\mathbb{R}/(N_\gamma)_\mathbb{R}$ with the corresponding quotient lattice. Note, then, that l -th Ishida's complex $C^*(\text{Star}_\gamma(\Sigma), l)$ for the subset $\text{Star}_\gamma(\Sigma)$ is isomorphic (up to a shift) to Ishida's complex $C^{*-\dim \gamma}(\widetilde{\text{Star}}_\gamma(\Sigma), l - \dim \gamma)$. The differential on the latter complex is induced from the first one.

As in [Is, Proposition 1.8], we note the following statement.

Proposition 1.5. *Let Σ' be a star closed subset of a fan Σ , equivalently $\Sigma'' = \Sigma \setminus \Sigma'$ be star open in Σ . Then there is a short exact sequence of Ishida's complexes*

$$0 \rightarrow C^*(\Sigma', l) \rightarrow C^*(\Sigma, l) \rightarrow C^*(\Sigma'', l) \rightarrow 0,$$

which gives a long exact sequence in cohomology:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\Sigma', l) \rightarrow H^0(\Sigma, l) \rightarrow H^0(\Sigma'', l) \rightarrow H^1(\Sigma', l) \rightarrow H^1(\Sigma, l) \rightarrow H^1(\Sigma'', l) \rightarrow \dots$$

Proof. Note that if Σ' is star closed in Σ and Σ'' is star open in Σ , then $C^j(\Sigma', l)$ and $C^j(\Sigma'', l)$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -submodules that decompose $C^j(\Sigma, l)$. Moreover, the differential δ for $C^*(\Sigma', l)$ is the same as $C^*(\Sigma, l)$, making it into a subcomplex. Similarly, there is a natural projection from $C^*(\Sigma, l)$ onto $C^*(\Sigma'', l)$ compatible with the differentials. $\square$

We are interested to find cohomology of the Ishida complex in the case when the fan is a simplicial subdivision of a convex cone. First, consider the simplest case, when the fan consists of faces of a simplicial cone.

Lemma 1.6. *Let τ be a simplicial cone in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then the higher dimensional cohomology of the complex*

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^l M_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigoplus_{\substack{\dim \rho=1 \\ \rho \subset \tau}} \bigwedge^{l-1} \rho^{\perp} \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigoplus_{\substack{\dim \gamma=k \\ \gamma \subset \tau}} \bigwedge^{l-k} \gamma^{\perp} \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots,$$

where the differential δ is defined as in Definition 1.1, vanishes and the zeroth cohomology of it is $\bigwedge^l \tau^{\perp}$.

Proof. Since the Koszul complex

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\lrcorner e_{\rho}} \bigwedge^3 M_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\lrcorner e_{\rho}} \bigwedge^2 M_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\lrcorner e_{\rho}} M_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\lrcorner e_{\rho}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0,$$

where e_{ρ} is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -generator of the 1-dimensional cone ρ , is known to be acyclic (see [D, Appendix 2]), we get that the zeroth cohomology of our complex is equal to

$$\bigcap_{\rho \subset \tau} \bigwedge^l \rho^{\perp} \cong \bigwedge^l \tau^{\perp}.$$

We use the induction on the dimension of the cone τ to prove the acyclicity of the complex. The statement holds for $\dim \tau = 1$, because the map

$$\bigwedge^l M_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\lrcorner e_{\tau}} \bigwedge^{l-1} \tau^{\perp},$$

where e_{τ} is the minimal integral generator of τ , is surjective.

For $\dim \tau > 1$, write $\tau = \tau' + \rho'$ where τ' and ρ' are the facet and the edge of τ , respectively. Let Σ be the fan consisting of faces of τ , and Σ'' be the fan consisting of faces of τ' , then $\Sigma' = \Sigma \setminus \Sigma'' = \text{Star}_{\rho'}(\Sigma)$. So, by Proposition 1.5, we get a long exact sequence in cohomology:

$$\dots \rightarrow H^k(\text{Star}_{\rho'}(\Sigma), l) \rightarrow H^k(\Sigma, l) \rightarrow H^k(\Sigma'', l) \rightarrow \dots$$

Note that $H^k(\Sigma'', l)$ vanishes for $k > 0$ by the induction assumption. On the other hand,

$$H^k(\text{Star}_{\rho'}(\Sigma), l) \cong H^{k-1}(\widetilde{\text{Star}_{\rho'}(\Sigma)}, l-1).$$

Since $\widetilde{\text{Star}}_{\rho'}(\Sigma)$ is a fan consisting of the faces of the simplicial cone $\bar{\tau}$, which is the image of τ in the quotient space $N_{\mathbb{R}}/(N_{\rho'})_{\mathbb{R}}$, the latter cohomology group vanishes for $k > 1$, again, by the induction. Hence, the middle term $H^k(\Sigma, l) = 0$ for $k > 1$ as well. To show that it also vanishes for $k = 1$, consider the first terms of the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\text{Star}_{\rho'}(\Sigma), l) \rightarrow H^0(\Sigma, l) \rightarrow H^0(\Sigma'', l) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Star}_{\rho'}(\Sigma), l) \rightarrow H^1(\Sigma, l) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $H^0(\text{Star}_{\rho'}(\Sigma), l) = 0$, since there is no zeroth term in the corresponding complex. We already showed $H^0(\Sigma, l) \cong \bigwedge^l \tau^\perp$ and $H^0(\Sigma'', l) \cong \bigwedge^l \tau'^\perp$. Also, $H^1(\text{Star}_{\rho'}(\Sigma), l) \cong H^0(\widetilde{\text{Star}}_{\rho'}(\Sigma), l-1) \cong \bigwedge^{l-1} \bar{\tau}^\perp$. To show that $H^0(\Sigma'', l) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Star}_{\rho'}(\Sigma), l)$ is onto, which will give the desired result, it suffices to show that

$$\dim H^0(\Sigma, l) - \dim H^0(\Sigma'', l) + \dim H^1(\text{Star}_{\rho'}(\Sigma), l) = 0.$$

But the dimensions are the binomial coefficients $\binom{d - \dim \tau}{l}$, $\binom{d - \dim \tau + 1}{l}$ and $\binom{d - \dim \tau}{l-1}$, which satisfy the well known combinatorial identity. $\square$

The next definition is motivated by the Chow ring of a complete simplicial toric variety (see [D]).

Definition 1.7. Let Σ be a fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the integral generators of the 1-dimensional cones $e_1, \dots, e_n$. Then define the *Chow* ring of Σ as

$$A(\Sigma) := \mathbb{Q}[D_1, \dots, D_n] / (P(\Sigma) + SR(\Sigma)),$$

where

$$P(\Sigma) = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \langle m, e_i \rangle D_i : m \in M \right\rangle$$

and

$$SR(\Sigma) = \langle D_{i_1} \cdots D_{i_k} : \{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}\} \not\subset \sigma \text{ for all } \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle.$$

Note that $A(\Sigma)_*$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra assuming $\deg D_i = 1$.

Theorem 1.8. Let Σ_τ be a simplicial subdivision of a convex cone τ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then cohomology of the corresponding l -th Ishida complex is

$$H^k(\Sigma_\tau, l) \cong A(\Sigma_\tau)_k \otimes \bigwedge^{l-k} \tau^\perp.$$

Proof. First, note that the k -th degree of the Chow ring $A(\Sigma_\tau)$ is spanned by $D_\gamma := \prod_{e_i \in \gamma} D_i$, for $\gamma \in \Sigma_\tau(k)$, because of the relations $P(\Sigma_\tau)$ and $SR(\Sigma_\tau)$. Also, the relations among D_γ are

$$\sum_{\substack{\gamma \succ \gamma' \\ \dim \gamma = \dim \gamma' + 1}} \langle m, e_{\gamma', \gamma} \rangle D_\gamma, \quad (2)$$

for all $\gamma' \in \Sigma_\tau(k-1)$, where $m \in M \cap \gamma'^\perp$ and $e_{\gamma', \gamma} \in N$ is a primitive generator of γ , but not of γ' . There is a natural homomorphism from $A(\Sigma_\tau)_k \otimes \bigwedge^{l-k} \tau^\perp$ to the cohomology $H^k(\Sigma_\tau, l)$, which sends $\sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_\tau(k)} D_\gamma \otimes a_\gamma$, for $a_\gamma \in \bigwedge^{l-k} \tau^\perp$, to

$$\oplus a_\gamma \in \ker(C^k(\Sigma_\tau, l) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(\Sigma_\tau, l)).$$

With this map the relations among D_γ map to $\text{im}(C^{k-1}(\Sigma_\tau, l) \rightarrow C^k(\Sigma_\tau, l))$, so that the homomorphism $A(\Sigma_\tau)_k \otimes \bigwedge^{l-k} \tau^\perp \rightarrow H^k(\Sigma_\tau, l)$ is well defined. We will prove by induction that this homomorphism is an isomorphism in a slightly more general situation: all maximal cones in the fan Σ_τ lie in τ , have the same dimension equal to $\dim \tau$, and the support of the fan is topologically equivalent to a convex cone.

Suppose we are given a simplicial subdivision of τ . Pick a cone of the dimension equal to $\dim \tau$ from this subdivision. Then for Σ_τ^1 consisting of the faces of this cone, the statement easily follows from Lemma 1.6. Let us construct inductively, the following sequence of subfans Σ_τ^i of the subdivision of τ . If Σ_τ^{i-1} is constructed, then Σ_τ^i is obtained by adding to Σ_τ^{i-1} a new $(\dim \tau)$ -dimensional cone from the subdivision, which is adjacent along a facet to a cone from Σ_τ^{i-1} , and, also, by adding all cones of the subdivision that have their edges among those of Σ_τ^{i-1} and the new edge ρ of the new cone. So, the fan Σ_τ^i has one more 1-dimensional cone, than the fan Σ_τ^{i-1} does. It is clear that by doing this we get that the simplicial subdivision of τ coincides with Σ_τ^i for some i .

Now, note that Σ_τ^i is a disjoint union of the star open subset Σ_τ^{i-1} and the star closed subset $\text{Star}_\rho(\Sigma_\tau^i)$. So, by Proposition 1.5 we have a long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^k(\text{Star}_\rho(\Sigma_\tau^i), l) \rightarrow H^k(\Sigma_\tau^i, l) \rightarrow H^k(\Sigma_\tau^{i-1}, l) \rightarrow \cdots$$

By induction, we can assume that $A(\Sigma_\tau^{i-1})_k \otimes \bigwedge^{l-k} \tau^\perp \cong H^k(\Sigma_\tau^{i-1}, l)$. We also have $H^k(\text{Star}_\rho(\Sigma_\tau^i), l) \cong H^{k-1}(\widetilde{\text{Star}_\rho(\Sigma_\tau^i)}, l-1) \cong A(\widetilde{\text{Star}_\rho(\Sigma_\tau^i)})_{k-1} \otimes \bigwedge^{l-k} \bar{\tau}^\perp$, where the induction is applied to the fan $\widetilde{\text{Star}_\rho(\Sigma_\tau^i)}$ lying in the image $\bar{\tau}$ of the cone τ in the quotient space $(N/N_\rho)_\mathbb{R}$. Using the description of $A(\Sigma_\tau^i)$ in terms of D_γ , one can easily show that there is a natural exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow A(\widetilde{\text{Star}_\rho(\Sigma_\tau^i)})_{k-1} \rightarrow A(\Sigma_\tau^i)_k \rightarrow A(\Sigma_\tau^{i-1})_k \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, we get a commutative diagram with exact rows and with the right- and left-hand vertical maps being isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A(\widetilde{\text{Star}_\rho(\Sigma_\tau^i)})_{k-1} \otimes V & \longrightarrow & A(\Sigma_\tau^i)_k \otimes V & \longrightarrow & A(\Sigma_\tau^{i-1})_k \otimes V \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^k(\text{Star}_\rho(\Sigma_\tau^i), l) & \longrightarrow & H^k(\Sigma_\tau^i, l) & \longrightarrow & H^k(\Sigma_\tau^{i-1}, l) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where $V = \bigwedge^{l-k} \bar{\tau}^\perp = \bigwedge^{l-k} \tau^\perp$. By the 5-lemma (see [E]), the middle vertical map is also isomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 1.9. *Let Σ_τ be a simplicial subdivision of a convex cone τ in $N_\mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\dim H^k(\Sigma_\tau, l) = \binom{d - \dim \tau}{l - k} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{\dim \tau - j}{k - j} (-1)^{k-j} \cdot \#\Sigma_\tau(j).$$

Proof. First, we guess the formula based on the answer for complete simplicial fans (see [O2, Corollary 4.2]). Then check that it also holds for a fan Σ_τ consisting of faces of a simplicial cone τ and prove it by the induction using the exact sequence from the proof of the above theorem. $\square$

Remark 1.10. One can consider Ishida's complexes of $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. However, for a fan with singular cones, the corresponding cohomology of Ishida's complexes may have torsion.

2. COHOMOLOGY OF RATIONAL FORMS AND A VANISHING THEOREM.

Our goal in this section is to compute the cohomology $H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X))$ for all k, l and a semiample divisor X on a complete simplicial toric variety $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$. While not all of these spaces vanish for $k > 0$ as it was in the case of an ample divisor, we discover that some of them do vanish. The result for a trivial divisor gives the well-known description of the cohomology of a complete simplicial toric variety.

Before we can state our results, let us review some further notation. Let $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ be a d -dimensional complete toric variety associated with the fan Σ in $N_\mathbb{R}$. We denote by $\mathbf{T}_\sigma$ a torus corresponding to the cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and by $V(\sigma)$ the closure of $\mathbf{T}_\sigma$ in $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$. Also, $D_1, \dots, D_n$ are the torus invariant irreducible divisors in $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$, corresponding to the primitive generators $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of the 1-dimensional cones. The polynomial ring $S = S(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is called the homogeneous coordinate ring of the toric variety $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$. A torus invariant Weil divisor $D = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i$ on the complete toric variety gives rise to a convex polytope

$$\Delta_D = \{m \in M_\mathbb{R} : \langle m, e_i \rangle \geq -a_i \text{ for all } i\} \subset M_\mathbb{R}.$$

There is also a support function $\psi_D : N_\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is linear on each cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\psi_D(e_i) = \langle m_\sigma, e_i \rangle = -a_i$ for all $e_i \in \sigma$ and some $m_\sigma \in M$.

We will work with semiample divisors which are conveniently classified by the following definition.

Definition 2.1. [M3] A semiample Cartier divisor D (i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D)$ is generated by global sections) on a complete toric variety $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ is called *i-semiample* if the Kodaira-Iitaka dimension $\kappa(D) := \dim \phi_D(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma) = i$, where $\phi_D : \mathbf{P}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D)))$ is the rational map defined by the sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D)$.

These divisors satisfy the property:

Theorem 2.2. [M3] Let $[D] \in A_{d-1}(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma)$ be an *i-semiample* divisor class on a complete toric variety $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ of dimension d . Then, there exists a unique complete toric variety $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_D}$ with a surjective morphism $\pi : \mathbf{P}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_D}$, arising from a surjective homomorphism of lattices $\tilde{\pi} : N \rightarrow N_D$ which maps the fan Σ into Σ_D , such that $\pi^*[Y] = [D]$ for some ample divisor Y on $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_D}$. Moreover, $\dim \mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_D} = i$, and, for a torus invariant D , the fan Σ_D in $(N_D)_\mathbb{R} := N_\mathbb{R}/N'_\mathbb{R}$, where $N' = \{v \in N : \psi_D(-v) = -\psi_D(v)\}$ is a sublattice of N and ψ_D is the support function of D , is the normal fan of Δ_D , which lies in $(M_D)_\mathbb{R}$, where $M_D := N'^\perp \cap M$.

To perform the calculations of the cohomology of the twisted sheaves we need to use Ishida's complex of sheaves which is a resolution of $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l$ (see [O1, Section 3.2]). Let us recall its construction. Define

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k,l} := \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Sigma(k)} \Omega_{V(\gamma)}^{l-k}(\log D(\gamma)),$$

for $0 \leq k \leq l$, where $D(\gamma) := \sum_{\gamma'} V(\gamma')$ is the anticanonical divisor on $V(\gamma)$, and set $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k,l} := 0$ for $k < 0$ or $k > l$. Then, there are natural morphisms

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k,l} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k+1,l},$$

induced by the Poincaré residue maps

$$R_{\gamma',\gamma} : \Omega_{V(\gamma)}^{l-k}(\log D(\gamma)) \rightarrow \Omega_{V(\gamma')}^{l-k-1}(\log D(\gamma'))$$

for the component $V(\gamma')$ of the divisor $D(\gamma)$ on $V(\gamma)$, if $\gamma \in \Sigma(k)$ is a face of $\gamma' \in \Sigma(k+1)$. If $\gamma \in \Sigma(k)$ is not a face of $\gamma' \in \Sigma(k+1)$, then the corresponding map $R_{\gamma', \gamma} = 0$.

By [O1, Theorem 3.6], the following sequence is exact

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{0,l} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{1,l} \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{l,l} \rightarrow 0,$$

since $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ is simplicial. Twisting this sequence by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(X)$ gives another exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{0,l}(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{1,l}(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{l,l}(X) \rightarrow 0, \quad (3)$$

which is a resolution of the twisted sheaf. Here, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k,l}(X) := \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k,l} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(X)$. We will now use this resolution to compute the cohomology of the twisted sheaves.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\beta = [X] \in A_{d-1}(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma)$ be a semiample divisor class on a complete simplicial toric variety $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$, and let $\pi : \mathbf{P}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_X}$ be the associated canonical contraction. Then*

$$H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_X} (S/\langle x_j : \tilde{\pi}(\rho_j) \subset \sigma \rangle)_{\beta - \beta_0 + \beta_1^\sigma} \otimes A^\sigma(\Sigma)_k \otimes \bigwedge^{l-k} (M_X \cap \sigma^\perp),$$

where $\beta_0 = \deg(\prod_{j=1}^n x_j)$, $\beta_1^\sigma = \deg(\prod_{\tilde{\pi}(\rho_j) \subset \sigma} x_j)$, and

$$A^\sigma(\Sigma) := \mathbb{Q}[D_1, \dots, D_n] / (P(\Sigma) + SR(\Sigma) + \langle D_j : \tilde{\pi}(\rho_j) \not\subset \sigma \rangle)$$

is defined similar to Definition 1.7.

Proof. Since

$$\Omega_{V(\gamma)}^{l-k}(\log D(\gamma)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V(\gamma)} \otimes \bigwedge^{l-k} (M \cap \gamma^\perp) \quad (4)$$

(see [O1, Corollary 3.2]), the sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k,l}(X)$ is a direct sum of the semiample sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{V(\gamma)}(X)$. By the vanishing of the higher dimensional cohomology of a semiample sheaf (see [D, Corollary 7.3]), it follows that the resolution (3) is acyclic, whence the cohomology of the twisted sheaf $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)$ can be computed as the cohomology of the complex of global sections (see [I, Proposition 4.3]):

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{0,l}(X)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^0(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{1,l}(X)) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} H^0(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{l,l}(X)) \rightarrow 0.$$

By the identifications (4) and the isomorphisms (see [C])

$$H^0(V(\gamma), \mathcal{O}_{V(\gamma)}(X)) \cong S(V(\gamma))_{\beta\gamma},$$

where $\sigma \in \Sigma_X$ is the smallest cone containing the image of γ , this complex can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow S_\beta \otimes \bigwedge^l M \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigoplus_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} S(V(\rho))_{\beta\rho} \otimes \bigwedge^{l-1} (M \cap \rho^\perp) \xrightarrow{\delta} \\ \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Sigma(k)} S(V(\gamma))_{\beta\gamma} \otimes \bigwedge^{l-k} (M \cap \gamma^\perp) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Sigma(l)} S(V(\gamma))_{\beta\gamma} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the map δ sends $g \otimes w \in S(V(\gamma))_{\beta\gamma} \otimes \bigwedge^{l-k} (M \cap \gamma^\perp)$, for $\gamma \in \Sigma(k)$, to the direct sum of $g_{\gamma'} \otimes (w \lrcorner e_{\gamma', \gamma}) \in S(V(\gamma'))_{\beta\gamma'} \otimes \bigwedge^{l-k-1} (M \cap \gamma'^\perp)$, for $\gamma \subset \gamma' \in \Sigma(k+1)$, such that $g_{\gamma'}$ is the image of g induced by the restriction $H^0(V(\gamma), \mathcal{O}_{V(\gamma)}(X)) \rightarrow H^0(V(\gamma'), \mathcal{O}_{V(\gamma')}(X))$, and $e_{\gamma', \gamma}$ is the minimal integral generator of the cone $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ contained in γ' but not in γ .

Next, notice that the monomials in S_β naturally correspond to the lattice points of the polytope Δ_X , by [C]. With respect to this identification, the monomials in

$$S(V(\gamma))_{\beta\gamma} \cong (S/\langle x_j : \tilde{\pi}(\rho_j) \subset \sigma \rangle)_\beta$$

(see [M3]) are the lattice points in the face of Δ_X corresponding to the minimal cone $\sigma \in \Sigma_X$ containing the image of γ . The natural grading of $S(V(\gamma))_{\beta\gamma}$, for $\gamma \in \Sigma$, by the lattice points of Δ_X induces a grading on the sequence (5), and it is not difficult to see that the maps δ in (5) respect this grading. A monomial in S_β can be uniquely written as $\prod_{\tilde{\pi}(\rho_j) \not\subset \sigma} x_j$ times a monomial in $(S/\langle x_j : \tilde{\pi}(\rho_j) \subset \sigma \rangle)_{\beta-\beta_0+\beta_1^\sigma}$, where $\sigma \in \Sigma_X$ corresponds to the minimal face of Δ_X containing the lattice point associated to the monomial. From here, it follows that the k -th cohomology of (5) is isomorphic to the direct sum, by $\sigma \in \Sigma_X$, of the tensor products of the complex spaces $(S/\langle x_j : \tilde{\pi}(\rho_j) \subset \sigma \rangle)_{\beta-\beta_0+\beta_1^\sigma}$ and the k -th cohomology of the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^l M \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigoplus_{\substack{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \\ \tilde{\pi}(\rho) \subset \sigma}} \bigwedge^{l-1} (M \cap \rho^\perp) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigoplus_{\substack{\gamma \in \Sigma(k) \\ \tilde{\pi}(\gamma) \subset \sigma}} \bigwedge^{l-k} (M \cap \gamma^\perp) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots$$

Recognize that this is the Ishida complex of $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules for a simplicial subdivision of the convex cone $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\sigma)$ induced by the fan Σ . Since we tensor the cohomology groups of this complex with complex spaces, we can discard torsion and use Theorem 1.8. After noting $M \cap (\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\sigma))^\perp = M_X \cap \sigma^\perp$ the result easily follows. $\square$

As a consequence of the above theorem, we get the following vanishing result, which is an analogue of the Bott-Steenbrink-Danilov vanishing theorem (see [BFLM]).

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be an i -semiample divisor on a complete simplicial toric variety $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$. Then*

$$H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)) = 0$$

if $k > l$ or $l > k + i$.

Proof. If $k > l$, then $\bigwedge^{l-k}(M_X \cap \sigma^\perp) = 0$ in Theorem 2.3. One can also obtain $H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)) = 0$ in this case directly from the complex of global sections of sheaves arising from the exact sequence (3), since that complex does not have nonzero terms after l .

If $l > k + i$ then $l - k > i$, while the rank of $M_X \cap \sigma^\perp$ is no more than i . So, $\bigwedge^{l-k}(M_X \cap \sigma^\perp)$ vanishes again for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_X$. $\square$

Remark 2.5. When X is a trivial divisor (i.e., $i = 0$), this theorem gives the vanishing part of the cohomology of the complete simplicial toric variety $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$: $H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l) = 0$ for $k \neq l$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.3, we get $H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^k) \cong \mathbb{C} \otimes A(\Sigma)_k$, which is the Danilov-Jurkevich description of the cohomology of a complete simplicial toric variety with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ (see [D]). We should also remark that Theorem 2.4 can not be extended to arbitrary complete toric varieties, because in the case when X is trivial we get $H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l)$, which may be nontrivial for $k < l$ (see [ADu]). However, for $k > l$ and a complete toric variety $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$, cohomology $H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l) = 0$ by [D]. It will be interesting to see if the vanishing result of Theorem 2.4 holds for complete toric varieties when $k > l$.

We can also deduce a generalization of the Kodaira vanishing theorem for toric varieties, which was proved by a different method in [Mu] and [BBo] (see also [CDi]).

Theorem 2.6. *Let X be an i -semiample divisor on a d -dimensional complete simplicial toric variety $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$. Then $H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^d(X)) = 0$ for $k \neq d - i$.*

Proof. If $l = d$ in Theorem 2.3, then $\bigwedge^{l-k}(M_X \cap \sigma^\perp) \neq 0$ for $d - k \leq i - \dim \sigma$. For such σ , we have $\dim \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\sigma) = \dim \sigma + d - i \leq k$. By the relations in $A^\sigma(\Sigma)_k$, it is clear that this $\mathbb{Q}$ -module vanishes for $\dim \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\sigma) < k$. Let us show that in the case of $\dim \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\sigma) = k$, we also have $A^\sigma(\Sigma)_k = 0$. Indeed, the maximal cones γ of dimension k that subdivide the convex cone $\dim \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\sigma)$ correspond to the generators D_γ of $A^\sigma(\Sigma)_k$. By the relations (2) that come from facets of the maximal cones, all D_γ are multiples of each other. Moreover, they are all zero, if $\sigma \neq \{0\}$, since we also have the relation coming from the facet of a maximal cone, which lies on the boundary of $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\sigma)$. But $\sigma \neq \{0\}$ because $\dim \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\sigma) = k \neq d - i$ while $\dim \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\{0\}) = d - i$. $\square$

Corollary 2.7. *Let X be an i -semiample torus invariant divisor on a d -dimensional complete simplicial toric variety $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ as in Theorem 2.3. Then*

$$\dim H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)) = \sum_{\Gamma \prec \Delta_X} l^*(\Gamma) \binom{\dim \Gamma}{l-k} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{d - \dim \Gamma - j}{k-j} (-1)^{k-j} \cdot \#\Sigma_{\sigma_\Gamma}(j),$$

where the sum is by faces of the polytope Δ_X , $\sigma_\Gamma \in \Sigma_X$ corresponds to Γ , $\Sigma_{\sigma_\Gamma} = \{\tau \in \Sigma \mid \tilde{\pi}(\tau) \subset \sigma_\Gamma\}$ is a subfan of Σ , and $l^*(\Gamma)$ denotes the number of interior lattice points inside Γ .

Proof. Cones σ of Σ_X correspond to the faces Γ of the polytope Δ_X and monomials in $(S/\langle x_j : \tilde{\pi}(\rho_j) \subset \sigma \rangle)_{\beta - \beta_0 + \beta_1^\sigma}$ correspond to monomials in S_β that are divisible by $\prod_{\tilde{\pi}(\rho_j) \not\subset \sigma} x_j$ and not divisible by x_j for $\tilde{\pi}(\rho_j) \subset \sigma$. Such monomials are in one-to-one correspondence with the interior lattice points of Γ . Next, note that $A^\sigma(\Sigma) \simeq A(\Sigma_{\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\sigma)})$, where $\Sigma_{\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\sigma)}$ is the simplicial subdivision of $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\sigma)$ induced by Σ . Since $M_X \cap \sigma^\perp = M \cap \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\sigma)^\perp$, Corollary 1.9 and Theorem 2.3 give us the formula. $\square$

Example 2.8. E. Materov in [Ma] calculated the Bott formula for ample divisors on complete simplicial toric varieties. Let X be ample on $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$, then X is d -semiample and $\Sigma_X = \Sigma$ consists of simplicial cones. For $k = 0$ in Corollary 2.7, we get

$$\dim H^0(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)) = \sum_{\Gamma \prec \Delta_X} l^*(\Gamma) \binom{\dim \Gamma}{l}.$$

For $k > 0$, the combinatorial identity

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{m-j}{k-j} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{m}{j} = 0,$$

which follows from

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{m}{j} t^j (1-t)^{m-j} = (t+1-t)^m = 1,$$

implies $\dim H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)) = 0$.

If X is trivial on $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$, then Σ_X and Δ_X are just points. We get

$$\dim H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{d-j}{k-j} (-1)^{k-j} \cdot \#\Sigma(j), & k = l, \\ 0, & k \neq l. \end{cases}$$

These are the formulas in Theorems 2.14 and 3.6 in [Ma].

The next thing we will do is to describe $H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X))$ via Čech cohomology. The toric variety $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ has an affine open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\tau\}$, where

$$U_\tau = \{x \in \mathbf{P}_\Sigma \mid \prod_{e_i \notin \tau} x_i \neq 0\}$$

and $\tau \in \Sigma(d)$ have the maximal dimension. This cover induces an affine open cover on all subvarieties $V(\gamma) \subset \mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ as well. Using the notation in [BC], let $m \in M$ correspond to the differential form $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle m, e_i \rangle \frac{dx_i}{x_i}$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be a semiample divisor on a complete simplicial toric variety $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ defined by $f = 0$ for $f \in S_\beta$. Then, under the isomorphism of Theorem 2.3 and the natural isomorphism $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)) \cong H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X))$, we have that $A \otimes D_{i_1} \cdots D_{i_k} \otimes \omega$, where $A \in S_{\beta - \beta_0 + \beta_1^\sigma}$, $\gamma = \langle e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k} \rangle \in \Sigma$, $\tilde{\pi}(\gamma) \subset \sigma \in \Sigma_X$ and $\omega \in \bigwedge^{l-k}(M_X \cap \sigma^\perp)$, correspond to the Čech cocycle*

$$\left\{ \frac{A}{f} \prod_{\tilde{\pi}(e_i) \notin \sigma} x_i (m_{\tau_1}^{i_1} - m_{\tau_0}^{i_1}) \wedge (m_{\tau_2}^{i_2} - m_{\tau_1}^{i_2}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (m_{\tau_k}^{i_k} - m_{\tau_{k-1}}^{i_k}) \wedge \omega \right\}_{\tau_0 \dots \tau_k}$$

with $m_\tau^i = 0$ if $e_i \notin \tau$, and, for $e_i \in \tau$, m_τ^i is determined by $\langle m_\tau^i, e_i \rangle = 1$ and $\langle m_\tau^i, e_j \rangle = 0$ for $e_j \in \tau$, $j \neq i$.

Proof. We have the resolution (3) of the sheaf of rational forms $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)$. When dealing with an acyclic resolution of sheaves, one can apply the following standard trick. Introduce auxiliary sheaves $\mathcal{K}_j$ as kernels of the morphisms $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{j,l}(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{j+1,l}(X)$. Then, by the exactness of (3), we get short exact sequences of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{j,l}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{j+1},$$

which give rise to the long exact sequences in cohomology:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H^{k-j}(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{j,l}(X)) \rightarrow H^{k-j}(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{K}_j) \rightarrow \\ H^{k-j+1}(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{K}_{j-1}) \rightarrow H^{k-j+1}(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{j,l}(X)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Since higher dimensional cohomology of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{j,l}(X)$ vanishes, the connecting homomorphisms are isomorphisms for $k-j > 0$ and epimorphisms for $k-j = 0$. Thus, we get isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{K}_k) / \text{im} H^0(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k-1,l}(X)) &\cong H^1(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{K}_{k-1}) \cong H^2(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{K}_{k-2}) \cong \cdots \\ &\cong H^{k-1}(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{K}_1) \cong H^k(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, $A(\prod_{\tilde{\pi}(e_i) \notin \sigma} x_i) \omega / f$ is a global section of $\Omega_{V(\gamma)}^{l-k}(\log D(\gamma))$, which is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k,l}(X)$. Moreover, one can easily check that its restriction by the homomorphism

$$H^0(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k,l}(X)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^0(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k+1,l}(X))$$

vanishes, whence $A(\prod_{\tilde{\pi}(e_i) \notin \sigma} x_i) \omega / f \in H^0(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{K}_k)$. In Čech cohomology this section is represented by the cocycle

$$\left\{ A \left(\prod_{\tilde{\pi}(e_i) \notin \sigma} x_i \right) \omega / f \right\}_\tau \in \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}|_{V(\gamma)}, \Omega_{V(\gamma)}^{l-k}(\log D(\gamma))). \quad (6)$$

To find its image by the connecting homomorphism $\check{H}^0(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{K}_k) \rightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{K}_{k-1})$, we can use the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{K}_{k-1}) & \rightarrow & C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k-1, l}(X)) & \rightarrow & C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{K}_k) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{K}_{k-1}) & \rightarrow & C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k-1, l}(X)) & \rightarrow & C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{K}_k) \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The homomorphism $C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k-1, l}(X)) \rightarrow C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{K}_k)$ is induced by the Poincaré residue maps

$$R_{\gamma, \gamma'} : C^0(\mathcal{U}|_{V(\gamma')}, \Omega_{V(\gamma')}^{l-k+1}(\log D(\gamma'))) \rightarrow C^0(\mathcal{U}|_{V(\gamma)}, \Omega_{V(\gamma)}^{l-k}(\log D(\gamma))),$$

where γ' is a facet of $\gamma \in \Sigma(k)$. The cocycle (6) has a lift by this homomorphism to the cochain

$$\left\{ \frac{A}{f} \prod_{\tilde{\pi}(e_i) \notin \sigma} x_i m_\tau^{i_k} \wedge \omega \right\}_\tau \in C^0(\mathcal{U}|_{V(\gamma')}, \Omega_{V(\gamma')}^{l-k+1}(\log D(\gamma'))),$$

where $\gamma' = \langle e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{k-1}} \rangle \in \Sigma(k-1)$, which can also be thought as the cochain in $C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{k-1, l}(X))$. Applying the Čech coboundary to this cochain, we get the cocycle

$$\left\{ \frac{A}{f} \prod_{\tilde{\pi}(e_i) \notin \sigma} x_i (m_{\tau_1}^{i_k} - m_{\tau_0}^{i_k}) \wedge \omega \right\}_{\tau_0 \tau_1}.$$

Repeating the above procedure and using the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & C^{k-j+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{K}_{j-1}) & \rightarrow & C^{k-j+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{j-1, l}(X)) & \rightarrow & C^{k-j+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{K}_k) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & C^{k-j}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{K}_{j-1}) & \rightarrow & C^{k-j}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^{j-1, l}(X)) & \rightarrow & C^{k-j}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{K}_j) \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

one can check that the image of the cocycle (6) by the sequence of homomorphisms $\check{H}^{k-j}(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{K}_j) \rightarrow \check{H}^{k-j+1}(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{K}_{j-1})$ is precisely the cocycle

$$\left\{ \frac{A}{f} \prod_{\tilde{\pi}(e_i) \notin \sigma} x_i (m_{\tau_1}^{i_1} - m_{\tau_0}^{i_1}) \wedge (m_{\tau_2}^{i_2} - m_{\tau_1}^{i_2}) \wedge \dots \wedge (m_{\tau_k}^{i_k} - m_{\tau_{k-1}}^{i_k}) \wedge \omega \right\}_{\tau_0 \dots \tau_k}$$

from $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}^l(X))$. $\square$

Example 2.10. Let us apply Proposition 2.9 in the case when $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ is the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$, and X is a trivial divisor. If $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$ are the homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^n$, then the open cover $\mathcal{U}$ is given by the open sets $U_\tau = \{x \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid x_j \neq 0\}$, where $\tau = \langle e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_{n+1} \rangle$, and $m_\tau^i = \frac{dx_i}{x_i} - \frac{dx_j}{x_j}$. Hence, the following cocycles represent $H^k(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^k) \cong \mathbb{C}$:

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{dx_{j_1}}{x_{j_1}} - \frac{dx_{j_0}}{x_{j_0}} \right) \wedge \left(\frac{dx_{j_2}}{x_{j_2}} - \frac{dx_{j_1}}{x_{j_1}} \right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left(\frac{dx_{j_k}}{x_{j_k}} - \frac{dx_{j_{k-1}}}{x_{j_{k-1}}} \right) \right\}_{j_0 \dots j_k}.$$

REFERENCES

- [ADu] Klaus Altmann and Duco van Straten, *The polyhedral Hodge number $h^{2,1}$ and vanishing of obstructions*, Tohoku Math. J., (2) **52** (2000), no. 4, 579–602.
- [Bot] R. Bott, *Homogeneous vector bundles*, Ann. of Math. (2) **66** (1957), 203–248.
- [BBo] V. Batyrev and L. Borisov, “On Calabi-Yau complete intersections in toric varieties”, in *Higher-dimensional complex varieties* (Trento, 1994), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, 39–65.
- [BC] V. V. Batyrev and D. A. Cox, *On the Hodge structure of projective hypersurfaces in toric varieties*, Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), 293–338.
- [BFLM] A. Buch, J. Funch Thomsen, N. Lauritzen and V. Mehta, *Frobenius morphisms modulo p^2 and Bott vanishing*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math., **322** (1996), no. 1, 69–72.
- [C] D. A. Cox, *The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety*, J. Algebraic Geom. **4** (1995), 17–50. 96), 73–96.
- [CDi] D. Cox and A. Dickenstein, *Codimension theorems for complete toric varieties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 3153–3162.
- [D] V. Danilov, *The geometry of toric varieties*, Russian Math. Surveys **33** (1978), 97–154.
- [DKh] V. Danilov and A. Khovanskii, *Newton polyhedra and an algorithm for computing Hodge-Deligne numbers*, Math. USSR-Izv. **29** (1987), 279–298.
- [E] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **150**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [F] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [G] P. Griffiths, *On the periods of certain rational integrals I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **90** (1969) 460–495, 498–541.
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1978.
- [I] Itaka, *Algebraic Geometry*,
- [Is] M.-N. Ishida, *Torus embeddings and dualizing complexes*, Tohoku Math. J., **32** (1980), 111–146.
- [M1] A. R. Mavlyutov, *Semiample hypersurfaces in toric varieties*, Duke Math. J. **101** (2000), 85–116.
- [M2] ———, “The Hodge structure of semiample hypersurfaces and a generalization of the monomial-divisor mirror map” in *Advances in Algebraic Geometry Motivated by Physics* (ed. E. Previato), Contemporary Mathematics, **276**, 2001, 199–227.
- [M3] ———, *On the chiral ring of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties*, Comp. Math., **138** (2003), Issue 3, 289–336.
- [Ma] E. Materov, *The Bott Formula for Toric Varieties*, Moscow Math. J., **2** (2002), 161–182.
- [Mu] M. Mustata, *Vanishing theorems on toric varieties*, Tohoku Math. J. **54** (2002), 451–470.
- [O1] T. Oda, *Convex Bodies and Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [O2] T. Oda, *Simple convex polytopes and the strong Lefschetz theorem*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **71**, (1991), no. 2-3, 265–286.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OK 74078, USA.

E-mail address: mavlyutov@math.okstate.edu